

Posting type	Historical – applies only to downloads before 4/17/09
Subject	Mis-reporting of light-absorption on masked filters
Module/Species	A/ f_{abs}
Sites	BRID1, DENA1, HALE1, HANC1, HAVO1, MEVE1, MORA1, NOAB1, NOCA1, OLYM1, PETE1, SIME1, SNPA1, TRCR1, TUXE1, VIIS1, WEMI1, WHIT1, WHPA1, WHPE1, WHRI1, ZICA1
Period	2005 – 2006
Recommendation	Multiply 2005-6 values by $(2.20 \text{ cm}^2)/(3.53 \text{ cm}^2)$ at above sites
Submitter	W.H. White, white@crocker.ucdavis.edu

Supporting information

The 22 sites listed above operated throughout 2005-6 with [masks](#) that reduced the effective diameter of A-module filters from 3.53 cm^2 to 2.20 cm^2 . Reported light-absorption coefficients for 2005-6 incorrectly overlooked the reduced deposit area of these samples, and were thus high by the factor $(3.53 \text{ cm}^2)/(2.20 \text{ cm}^2) = 1.6$.