

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Optical and Aerosol Data	S-1
S.2 Spatial and Seasonal Distribution of Aerosol Concentration and Chemical Composition	S-4
S.3 Light Extinction and its Relationships to Aerosols	S-5
S.4 Spatial and Seasonal Distribution of Reconstructed Light Extinction and Species Contributions	S-5
S.5 Spatial and Seasonal Trends in Visibility in the United States	S-9
S.6 Temporal Trends and Interrelationships of Aerosol Concentrations	S-10
S.7 Recommended Future Research	S-12
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Objectives of Visibility Monitoring	1-1
1.2 Overview of the IMPROVE Monitoring Network	1-3
1.3 Background Regarding Visibility Impairment and Aerosols	1-6
1.3.1 Relationship Between Visibility and Aerosol Concentrations	1-8
1.3.2 Effect of Relative Humidity on Light Scattering	1-9
1.4 Organization of the Report	1-9
1.5 References	1-9
2 OPTICAL AND AEROSOL DATA	2-1
2.1 Transmissometers	2-1
2.2 Integrating Nephelometers	2-2
2.3 Particle Sampling System	2-3
2.4 Determination of Aerosol Types	2-4
2.5 References	2-7
3 AEROSOL MASS BUDGETS AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS	3-1
3.1 Characteristics of the Regions	3-2
3.2 Spatial Trends in Aerosol Concentrations in the United States	3-15
3.2.1 PM <sub>10</sub> Aerosol	3-15
3.2.2 Fine Aerosol	3-16
3.2.3 Coarse Aerosol	3-18
3.2.4 Fine Sulfate Aerosol	3-18
3.2.5 Fine Nitrate Aerosol	3-19
3.2.6 Fine Organic Aerosol	3-19
3.2.7 Fine Light-Absorbing Carbon Aerosol	3-23
3.2.8 Fine Soil Aerosol	3-23
3.3 Summary	3-23
3.4 References	3-26

4	LIGHT EXTINCTION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO AEROSOLS	4-1
4.1	Comparison of Reconstructed to Measured Fine Mass	4-3
4.2	Extinction Components	4-5
4.2.1	Estimating Light Scattering	4-7
4.2.2	Estimating Aerosol Absorption	4-9
4.3	Aerosol Scattering and Absorption	4-10
4.4	Comparison of Reconstructed Extinction and Scattering	4-13
4.4.1	Extinction, Scattering, and Absorption Characteristics at Meadview	4-13
4.4.2	Comparison of Estimated and Measured Scattering at Meadview	4-15
4.4.3	Comparison of Estimated and Measured Extinction at Meadview and IMPROVE Sites	4-15
4.4.4	Regression Analysis	4-19
4.5	Attribution of Extinction to Aerosol Species	4-21
4.5.1	The Attribution Equation	4-21
4.5.2	Estimating $f(\text{RH})$ from Average Relative Humidity	4-22
4.6	References	4-25
5	SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF RECONSTRUCTED LIGHT EXTINCTION AND LIGHT EXTINCTION BUDGETS	5-1
5.1	Reconstructing Light Extinction from Aerosol Measurements	5-1
5.2	Reconstructed Light Extinction and Light Extinction Budgets	5-3
5.2.1	Characteristics of the Region	5-4
5.2.2	Spatial Trends in Reconstructed Light Extinction in the United States	5-22
5.2.3	Spatial Trends in Visibility in the United States	5-28
5.3	Summary	5-29
6	TEMPORAL TRENDS AND INTERRELATIONSHIPS OF AEROSOL CONCENTRATIONS	6-1
6.1	Protocol Induced Trends of Sulfur Concentrations and $b_{abs}$	6-1
6.2	Seasonal Trends of Sulfur	6-3
6.3	Seasonal Trends of Absorption ( $b_{abs}$ )	6-5
6.4	Long-Term Variability	6-9
6.4.1	Bryce Canyon National Park	6-10
6.4.2	Rocky Mountain National Park	6-10
6.4.3	Guadalupe Mountains National Park	6-17
6.4.4	Crater Lake National Park	6-17
6.4.5	Great Smoky Mountains National Park	6-24
6.4.6	Mesa Verde National Park	6-24
6.4.7	Chiricahua National Monument	6-31
6.4.8	Grand Canyon National Park - Winter	6-31
6.4.9	Grand Canyon National Park - Summer	6-36
6.4.10	Grand Canyon National Park - Autumn	6-42

6.5	Interrelationships of Fine Mass, Sulfur and Absorption	6-42
6.6	Daily Scatter Plots	6-46
6.6.1	Shenandoah National Park	6-46
6.6.2	Glacier National Park	6-46
6.6.3	Denali National Park	6-46
6.6.4	Bridger Wilderness Area	6-48
6.7	Conclusions	6-48
6.8	References	6-50

## LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter		Page
	<b>OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY</b>	
S-1	The 42 IMPROVE sites out of 43 included in the report. Denali National Park in Alaska is not shown.	S-2
S.2a-b	Average reconstructed light extinction coefficient ( $Mm^{-1}$ ) calculated from the aerosol concentrations measured during three years of IMPROVE, March 1992 through February 1995. The various panels of this figure show total extinction (including Rayleigh scattering due to air) and the contributions due to the various aerosol components: coarse particles and fine soil, sulfate, organic carbon, nitrate, and absorption.	S-6
S.2c-d	Continued	S-7
S.2e-f	Continued	S-8
S.3	Average visibility impairment in deciviews calculated from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for three years of IMPROVE, March 1992 through February 1995.	S-10
1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1	The 42 IMPROVE sites out of 43 included in the report. Denali National Park in Alaska is not shown.	1-4
3	<b>AEROSOL MASS BUDGETS AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS</b>	
3.1	Average $PM_{10}$ mass concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-16
3.2	Average fine mass aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and fine mass fraction of $PM_{10}$ (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-17
3.3	Average coarse particle mass concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-18
3.4	Average fine sulfate aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and sulfate fine mass fractions (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-20
3.5	Average fine nitrate aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and nitrate fine mass fractions (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-21
3.6	Average fine organic aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and organic fine mass fractions (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-22
3.7	Average fine elemental carbon aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and elemental carbon fine mass fractions (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-24
3.8	Average fine soil aerosol concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) (top) and soil fine mass fractions (bottom) for each site in the IMPROVE network.	3-25

4	LIGHT EXTINCTION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO AEROSOLS	
4.1	Map showing the location of monitoring sites and some of the larger national park units.	4-2
4.2	Scatter plot of measured and reconstructed fine mass for the summer Meadview data set. The error bars show the measurement uncertainty.	4-4
4.3	Scatter plot of measured and reconstructed fine mass for the IMPROVE data set.	4-6
4.4	$f(\text{RH})$ for ammonium sulfate is plotted as a function of relative humidity.	4-8
4.5	Temporal plot of measured $b_{ext}$ , $b_{scat}$ , $b_{abs}$ , relative humidity, and $f(\text{RH})$ for the summer Meadview data set. Units on extinction, scattering, and absorption are $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ , while relative humidity is in percent and $f(\text{RH})$ is unitless. Time is in Julian day, and for reference the month and day axis is also included.	4-11
4.6	Temporal plot of estimated scattering associated with ammonium sulfate, ammonium nitrate, organics, fine soil, and coarse mass. Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-12
4.7	Reconstructed extinction using $b_{scat}$ , $b_{abs}$ , and coarse mass divided by 2 is plotted against measured extinction. Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-14
4.8	Reconstructed extinction using $b_{scat}$ , $b_{lac}$ , and coarse mass divided by 2 is plotted against measured extinction. Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-15
4.9	Reconstructed $b_{scat}$ using the sum of estimated aerosol species scattering is plotted against measured $b_{scat}$ . Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-16
4.10	Reconstructed $b_{ext}$ using the sum of estimated aerosol species scattering but with coarse mass scattering divided by 2 is plotted against measured $b_{scat}$ . Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-16
4.11	Reconstructed $b_{ext}$ using $b_{lac}$ and the sum of estimated aerosol scattering from the various aerosol species is plotted against measured $b_{ext}$ . Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-18
4.12	Reconstructed $b_{ext}$ using $b_{abs}$ and the sum of estimated aerosol scattering from the various aerosol species is plotted against measured $b_{ext}$ . Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-18
4.13	Reconstructed $b_{ext}$ using $b_{lac}$ and the sum of estimated aerosol scattering from the various aerosol species is plotted against measured $b_{ext}$ for the IMPROVE data set. Units are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ .	4-19
4.14	Dependence on average site relative humidity of the relative correction factor for sulfate ( $F_{Ts}$ ) for the 39 IMPROVE sites with relative humidity measurements.	4-23
4.15	Spatial variation in annual average relative humidity [NOAA, 1978].	4-24

5	SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF RECONSTRUCTED LIGHT EXTINCTION AND LIGHT EXTINCTION BUDGETS	
5.1	Three-year averages of total reconstructed light extinction coefficient ( $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ ) for each of the reported sites in the IMPROVE network in the United States.	5-4
5.2	Three-year averages of reconstructed sulfate light extinction coefficient in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ (top) and sulfate fraction in percent of aerosol light extinction (bottom), for each of the sites in the IMPROVE network reported for the United States.	5-23
5.3	Three-year averages of reconstructed nitrate light extinction coefficient in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ (top) and nitrate fraction in percent of aerosol light extinction (bottom), for each of the sites in the IMPROVE network reported for the United States.	5-24
5.4	Three-year averages of reconstructed organic carbon light extinction coefficient in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ (top) and organic carbon fraction in percent of aerosol light extinction (bottom), for each of the sites in the IMPROVE network reported for the United States.	5-25
5.5	Three-year averages of reconstructed absorption coefficient in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ (top) and absorption fraction in percent of aerosol light extinction (bottom), for each of the sites in the IMPROVE network reported for the United States.	5-26
5.6	Three-year averages of reconstructed light extinction due to coarse material in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ (top) and percent of aerosol extinction (bottom), for each of the sites in the IMPROVE network reported for the United States.	5-27
5.7	Average visibility impairment in deciviews calculated from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for the three-year period, March 1992 through February 1995, of IMPROVE.	5-28
5.8	Average winter visibility impairment in deciviews calculated from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for the three-year period, March 1992 through February 1995, of IMPROVE.	5-30
5.9	Average spring visibility impairment in deciviews calculated from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for the three-year period, March 1992 through February 1995, of IMPROVE.	5-31
5.10	Average summer visibility impairment in deciviews calculated from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for the three-year period, March 1992 through February 1995, of IMPROVE.	5-31
5.11	Average autumn visibility impairment in deciviews calculated	5-32

from total (Rayleigh included) reconstructed light extinction for the three-year period, March 1992 through February 1995, of IMPROVE.

6	TEMPORAL TRENDS AND INTERRELATIONSHIPS OF AEROSOL CONCENTRATIONS	
6.1	Time line of absorption ( $b_{abs}$ ) at five sites that demonstrate a clear change before and after the IMPROVE network was initiated.	6-4
6.2	Time lines of sulfur concentration at seven sites that demonstrate a range of seasonal behavior with the strongest seasonality at the top and the weakest at the bottom.	6-6
6.3	Time lines of absorption at six sites that demonstrate a range of seasonal behavior with the weakest seasonality at the top and the strongest at the bottom.	6-8
6.4a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Bryce Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-11
6.4b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Bryce Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-12
6.4c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Bryce Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-13
6.5a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Rocky Mountain National Park in the winter.	6-14
6.5b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Rocky Mountain National Park in the winter.	6-15
6.5c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Rocky Mountain National Park in the winter.	6-16
6.6a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Guadalupe Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-18
6.6b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Guadalupe Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-19
6.6c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Guadalupe Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-20
6.7a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Crater Lake National Park in the winter.	6-21
6.7b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Crater Lake National Park in the winter.	6-22
6.7c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Crater Lake National Park in the winter.	6-23
6.8a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Great Smoky Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-25
6.8b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Great Smoky Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-26
6.8c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Great Smoky Mountains National Park in the autumn.	6-27

6.9a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mesa Verde National Park in the summer.	6-28
6.9b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mesa Verde National Park in the summer.	6-29
6.9c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Mesa Verde National Park in the summer.	6-30
6.10a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Chiricahua National Monument in the summer.	6-32
6.10b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Chiricahua National Monument in the summer.	6-33
6.10c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Chiricahua National Monument in the summer.	6-34
6.11a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the winter.	6-35
6.11b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the winter.	6-37
6.11c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the winter.	6-38
6.12a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the summer.	6-39
6.12b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the summer.	6-40
6.12c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the summer.	6-41
6.13a	Monthly statistics for fine mass concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-43
6.13b	Monthly statistics for sulfur concentration ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-44
6.13c	Monthly statistics for absorption ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at Grand Canyon National Park in the autumn.	6-45
6.14	Matrix scatter plots of absorption ( $b_{abs}$ ) sulfur (S) and gravimetric fine mass (FM) for the four seasons at Shenandoah National Park. Assuming an absorption efficiency of $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$ all units are $\hat{\text{t}}\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .	6-47
6.15	Matrix scatter plots of absorption ( $b_{abs}$ ) sulfur (S) and gravimetric fine mass (FM) for the four seasons at Glacier National Park. Assuming an absorption efficiency of $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$ all units are $\hat{\text{t}}\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .	6-47
6.16	Matrix scatter plots of absorption ( $b_{abs}$ ) sulfur (S) and gravimetric fine mass (FM) for the four seasons at Denali National Park. Assuming an absorption efficiency of $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$ all units are $\hat{\text{t}}\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .	6-48
6.17	Matrix scatter plots of absorption ( $b_{abs}$ ) sulfur (S) and gravimetric fine mass (FM) for the four seasons at Bridger Wilderness. Assuming an absorption efficiency of $10 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$ all units are $\hat{\text{t}}\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .	6-49

## LIST OF TABLES

Chapter		Page
	OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY	
	S.1 IMPROVE and NPS/IMPROVE protocol sites according to region.	S-3
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 IMPROVE and NPS/IMPROVE protocol sites according to region.	1-5
2	OPTICAL AND AEROSOL DATA	
	2.1 Filter media and analysis techniques used to determine concentrations of particulate matter species from IMPROVE sampler modules.	2-3
	2.2 The formulae and assumptions applied to IMPROVE sampler measurements to derive the principal fine aerosol species, reconstructed fine mass, and coarse mass. The brackets indicate the mass concentration of the aerosol species or element.	2-5
3	AEROSOL MASS BUDGETS AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS	
	3.1 Measured fine and coarse aerosol concentration (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) for the 21 regions in the IMPROVE network.	3-3
	3.2 Measured fine aerosol mass budgets (in percent) for the 21 regions in the IMPROVE network.	3-7
4	LIGHT EXTINCTION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO AEROSOLS	
	4.1 Summary statistics for aerosol mass concentrations for the summer Meadview data set. The number of valid data points is 97.	4-4
	4.2 Summary statistics for aerosol mass concentrations for the IMPROVE data set. The number of valid data points is 5108.	4-5
	4.3 Summary statistics for optical variables for the summer Meadview data set. The numbers reported are associated with the scattering, absorption, or extinction associated with each variable. Units on scattering, absorption, and extinction are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ , while relative humidity is in percent and $f(\text{RH})$ factors have no units. $Rb_{ext1}$ and $Rb_{ext2}$ refer to reconstructed extinction using $b_{lac}$ and $b_{abs}$ , respectively and $Rb_{scat}$ is reconstructed scattering. Units on scattering, absorption, and extinction are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ , while relative humidity is in percent and $f(\text{RH})$ factors have no units. The number of valid data points is 82.	4-10

4.4	Summary statistics for optical variables for the IMPROVE data set. The numbers reported are associated with the scattering, absorption, or extinction associated with each variable. Units on scattering, absorption, and extinction are in $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ , while relative humidity is in percent and $f(\text{RH})$ factors have no units. $Rb_{ext1}$ and $Rb_{ext2}$ refer to reconstructed extinction using $b_{lac}$ and $b_{abs}$ , respectively. The number of valid data points is 1642.	4-13
4.5	Results of a factor analysis on various extinction, scattering, and absorption variables for the summer Meadview data set.	4-20
4.6	Results of a three-step ordinary least square (OLS) regression with various optical variables as dependent and independent variables.	4-21
4.7	Parameters of the best-fit quadratic equation relating the relative humidity light extinction correction factors ( $F_T$ ) to average site relative humidity ( $F = b_0 + b_2(1/(1-rh))^2 + b_3(1/(1-rh))^3 + b_4(1/(1-rh))^4$ ).	4-24
5	<b>SPATIAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF RECONSTRUCTED LIGHT EXTINCTION AND LIGHT EXTINCTION BUDGETS</b>	
5.1	Parameters of the best-fit quadratic equation relating the relative humidity light extinction correction factors ( $F_T$ ) to average site relative humidity ( $F = b_0 + b_2(1/(1-rh))^2 + b_3(1/(1-rh))^3 + b_4(1/(1-rh))^4$ ).	5-3
5.2	Seasonal and annual averages of reconstructed total light extinction coefficient ( $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ ) for the 21 regions in the IMPROVE network. Also shown are the light scattering coefficients resulting from fine and coarse aerosols, light absorptions for carbonaceous aerosol, percentage of total extinction resulting from aerosols, and the average region relative humidity.	5-6
5.3	Seasonal and annual averages of reconstructed aerosol light extinction coefficient ( $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ ) for the 21 regions in the IMPROVE network. Also shown are the light extinction coefficients ( $\text{Mm}^{-1}$ ) resulting from sulfate, nitrate, organic carbon, light absorption, and coarse particles/fine soil.	5-10
5.4	Seasonal and annual averages of percentage contributions to the reconstructed aerosol light extinction coefficient (light extinction budget) for the 21 regions in the IMPROVE network for sulfate, nitrate, organic carbon, absorption, and coarse particle/fine soil.	5-14

6	TEMPORAL TRENDS AND INTERRELATIONSHIPS OF AEROSOL CONCENTRATIONS	
6.1	Sites and time periods for IMPROVE and SFU	6-2
6.2	Seasonal statistics (in $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) for particulate sulfate at seven sites.	6-7
6.3	Seasonal statistics for absorption (in $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ ) at six sites.	6-9

## LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX 1 Long term stacked timelines of fine mass concentrations (FM), sulfur concentrations (S), and concentrations of light-absorbing mass ( $b_{abs}$ ) by site in  $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ .
- APPENDIX 2 Long term seasonal statistics of fine mass concentrations, sulfur concentrations, and concentration of light-absorbing mass by site in  $\text{ng}/\text{m}^3$ .
- APPENDIX 3 Matrix scatter plots of light-absorbing mass concentrations ( $b_{abs}$ ), fine mass concentrations (FM), and sulfur concentrations (S) by site in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

Copies of appendices available upon request to National Park Service office at 970/491-8292.