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1. PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

This standard operating procedure (SOP) outlines the site selection and preparation procedures for the installation of IMPROVE aerosol samplers and covers the following topics.

- locating potential sites.
- evaluating potential sites.
- selecting the most appropriate site from the potential sites.
- finalizing and authorizing the selected site.
- preparing the site.
- selecting the site operator.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES

This section describes the responsibilities of the individuals involved in Site Selection for the IMPROVE Aerosol Sampling Network.

2.1 PROJECT MANAGER

The project manager shall

- prepare project specific siting and operational objectives, guidelines, and considerations.
- select the most appropriate site for the aerosol samplers based on the criteria described in the SOP.

2.2 FIELD SPECIALIST

The field specialist shall

- initiate the search for potential sites by sending pertinent siting criteria and associated siting materials to a local contact.
- maintain communications with the local contact during the field survey of potential sites, verifying that all potential sites have been identified and thoroughly evaluated and that all materials are returned for review in a timely fashion. The actual field survey may be performed by the local contact, the field specialist, or both.
- review potential sites with the project manager.
- work with the local contact to obtain permission from private or public landowners for permanent access to the aerosol sampling site.
- work with the local contact to obtain permission to perform any special site preparation that may be required.
- work with the local contact to identify a local site operator to service the equipment.
- maintain careful records in permanent files and in the site database on all site selection information.
provide detailed specification and guidance to the local contact in selecting, planning, and constructing the sampler mount.

2.3 LOCAL (ON-SITE) CONTACT

The local contact shall

- review the technical and monitoring requirements provided by the field specialist.
- identify potential sites.
- maintain communication with the field specialist during the field survey of potential sites.
- photograph and document potential sites, providing a set of digital photos showing each potential site, as well as the views from the sampling site toward the north, the south, the east, and the west.
- document the selected site location(s) on a topographic map; measure the latitude, longitude, and elevation using an electronic GPS device.
- identify and contact local landowners, primary contacts, and operators regarding site installation and routine maintenance requirements.
- prepare a list of known local sources affecting the air in the area of interest.
- provide information about the availability of AC power and telephone service in the area of interest.
- prepare the selected site, including arranging for electrical power at the site, and provide a shelter or mounting rack to house the sampler.

3. REQUIRED EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS

The following materials are required to complete the site selection process:

- maps
- a digital camera to take photographs of the proposed site and surrounding areas.
- an electronic GPS device
- a list of monitoring requirements and the associated IMPROVE monitoring equipment
- a list of local sources affecting the air in the area of interest
- information about the availability of AC power and telephone service for associated monitoring equipment

4. BACKGROUND

The IMPROVE aerosol sampler collects ambient aerosols on a variety of substrates for the analysis of elemental, ion, and carbon species involved in visibility impairment. The data collected provide information for calculating trends in visibility and tracking sources of visibility impairment in Class 1 areas such as National Parks and Wilderness Areas.
The IMPROVE aerosol sampler collects ambient PM$_{2.5}$ aerosol samples every third day, beginning at midnight and sampling for 24 hours. The sampler is designed simply and ruggedly in order to withstand ambient field conditions and to make operation and maintenance easy.

To assure consistent quality data and to minimize data loss, aerosol sampling sites are selected to meet most if not all of the following criteria. The site must

- be removed from local sources, such as diesel, wood smoke, automobile emissions, road dust, or construction
- adhere to siting requirements conducive to regional aerosol sampling, including inlet height, an absence of interference in air flow from trees or buildings in a 30° cone above the sampler, and a minimum of 270° of free air flow around the sampler
- be located at a site where aerosols are representative of regional, not local, visibility conditions.
- be secure from potential vandalism
- have an operator available to service the site
- be accessible during all months of the year
- be at ambient outdoor temperature (e.g., no air conditioning or heating)

After the site is selected, the local Federal Land Manager (FLM) will normally obtain permits and have power, as well as a shelter or a rack, installed at the site. UC Davis will ship the sampler to the site. Once these tasks are completed, UC Davis personnel will travel to the site, install the sampler, and train the site operators. The sample changing by the site operator will require about 20 minutes per week, plus transit time to the site.

4.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPROVE SAMPLER

The IMPROVE sampler is designed to obtain a complete signature of the composition of the airborne particles affecting visibility. PM$_{2.5}$ (fine) particles are collected on Teflon®, nylon, and quartz filters and PM$_{10}$ particles on a Teflon filter. Each filter is in a separate module, as shown in Figure 1. The PM$_{10}$ module is on the right with the larger inlet head, and the inlets are normally 24 inches apart. The controller module is the box with no inlet. The analytical measurements are shown in Table 1.
Figure 1. Photo of the IMPROVE Aerosol Sampler

Table 1. Improve aerosol measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Analytical Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ particles</td>
<td>Teflon®</td>
<td>mass, optical absorption, elemental (H, Na-Pb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ particles</td>
<td>nylon with denuder</td>
<td>nitrate, sulfate, chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ particles</td>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>organic and elemental carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$ particles</td>
<td>Teflon®</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$ mass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IMPROVE aerosol sampler consists of the following:

- A controller. The controller module contains a microprocessor to start and stop sample collection and record the flow rates for each module continuously. The controller module measures 16” x 12” x 7” and weighs 30 pounds. The controller has a viewing screen, a keypad, a slot for a removable memory card, and all necessary electronic components. A schematic of the controller module with the cover open is shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Schematic of the IMPROVE controller module

- Three PM$_{2.5}$ modules (A, B, C). Each module measures 16” x 12” x 7” and weighs 40 pounds. A schematic of a PM$_{2.5}$ module with the cover open is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Schematic of a PM2.5 module
• One PM$_{10}$ Module (D). This module is the same as a PM2.5 module, except the inlet and cyclone are replaced by a commercial PM10 inlet.
• An additional module (at selected sites) for quality assurance.
• Four vacuum pumps to provide air flow through the filters. Each pump measures 12" x 7" x 9", weighs 25 pounds, and draws about 3.2 amperes of power at 120 volts. The pumps will generally be on the floor of the shelter. The complete sampler requires 120 volt, 60 hertz AC power on two 20-ampere circuits.

A shelter or mounting rack to house the sampler is to be provided by the host agency. UC Davis will supply detailed specifications and guidance to the local staff in selecting, planning, and constructing the sampler mount. IMPROVE samplers are typically mounted in one of three configurations, as shown in Figure 4:

a. in an ambient temperature shelter (not heated or air conditioned)
b. outside, on the side of an existing shelter or building
c. outside, on a rack built expressly for the IMPROVE sampler

![Figure 4](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a)</th>
<th>b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Shelter Configuration" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Shelter Configuration" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Three possible mounting configurations for the IMPROVE sampler.

**4.2 OPERATOR INTERACTION WITH THE SAMPLER**

The samples for all sites in the IMPROVE network are changed every Tuesday by a local site operator. The change takes 15-30 minutes. The site operator receives a box with all the necessary filters, a microprocessor memory card, and a field log sheet. The steps for the change are as follows.
1. The operator presses the appropriate buttons on the microprocessor keyboard to read and display the flow rates for the exposed filters in the sampler. The operator records the displayed values on the log sheet.

2. The operator removes the cartridges of exposed filters from each module, seals them in the provided bag, and places the bag in the shipping box for these samples. The operator removes the memory card from the controller and places it in the same shipping box.

3. The operator inserts the cartridges of clean filters in each module and a new memory card in the controller.

4. The operator presses the appropriate buttons on the microprocessor keyboard to read and display the flow rates for the clean filters. The operator records the displayed values on the log sheet.

5. The operator verifies that the readings are reasonable. The microprocessor will also make checks and flash a warning if there are problems.

6. The operator records any relevant notes on the logsheet (fires, missed sample changes, bad sample changes, problems).

7. The operator then returns the shipping box with exposed filters, the completed log sheet, and the old memory card to UC Davis.

If problems are encountered, the operator will be asked to call the sample handling lab immediately while at the site and troubleshoot the samplers with the assistance of a UC Davis technician.

5. METHODS

This SOP should be sent to the local contact to initiate the site selection process. The local contact should fill out the photographic log and the site evaluation form in Appendices 1 and 2. A digital camera and a GPS device should be sent to the local contact if these devices are not available locally.

5.1 LOCATION OF PROSPECTIVE SITES

The lead role in the selection of prospective sites is normally assumed by the local FLM and the state and/or local air quality agency, but the national or regional FLM may want to participate.

Site selection begins with the process of locating potential sites in the monitoring area of interest. Specific siting criteria should be obtained from the project manager, and this information may include regional or site-specific program objectives and meteorological conditions of the monitoring area, as well as other considerations. Potential sites may be located from maps and through consultation with a local contact familiar with the monitoring area of interest.

The site should not be located in areas subject to unusual aerosol transport conditions. There should be no local pollution sources or unusual meteorology. The aerosol at the site should be representative of the regional air mass.
The site criteria fall into three categories: (1) the site must represent nearby Class I areas; (2) the site should be regionally representative, avoiding local pollution sources or areas with unusual meteorology; and (3) the site must avoid nearby obstacles that could affect sample collection. In most cases, the criteria are based on EPA guidelines. The criteria are not absolutes. A site that falls slightly outside a criterion may be the best choice. Significant variances from any criterion should be well documented and will be reviewed by the IMPROVE steering committee before the site is installed. The following criteria should be used as guidelines in selecting the specific location of a sampling site.

1. If a site is intended to represent Class I areas, it must meet the following criteria:
   a. The distance between the site and the closest portion of all Class I areas should not be greater than 100 km. A smaller distance would be desirable. Note that the closest site may not be the best site.
   b. The elevation of the site should lie between the highest and lowest elevations of all Class I areas to be represented. Exceedances of 100 feet or 10% are considered to be meeting this criterion. Larger exceedances are permitted if agreed to by the states and FLMs.

2. The site must avoid small valleys with non-representative meteorology. Valleys with towns or other emission sources are definitely to be avoided. Valleys without emission sources, but with significant inversions, should also be avoided. The site should not be located on barren ground that is not typical of the region.

3. The site must avoid all local sources of pollution.
   a. automotive sources:
      - **vehicle usage**
        - <10,000 vehicles per day  >25m between road and sampler
        - 10,000-20,000 vehicles per day  50m between road and sampler
        - 20,000-40,000 vehicles per day  75m between road and sampler
        - >40,000 vehicles per day  >100m between road and sampler
   b. combustion sources:
      Avoid any areas influenced by diesel generator emissions, wood smoke, or incinerators.
   c. dust sources:
      At least 400m from a large potential source of dust, such as a landfill, agricultural operations, or an unpaved road with more than 400 cars per day.

4. The site must not have large obstructions such as trees or buildings that would hinder the sampling of regional representative aerosols. If necessary, the sampler could be placed on a platform to clear obstructions or to stay above any snow pack.¹
   a. There should be unrestricted airflow for an arc of at least 270°. The predominant wind direction must be in the unrestricted 270°. In practice, having unrestricted flow in all directions is preferable.
   b. **Within 10m** of the sampler, any solid barriers or trees should be at least 1m below the inlet, as shown on the left side of Figure 5. In general, a pole or meteorological tower will not be a solid barrier. We will set as a guideline that a solid barrier is any object that

¹ Raising the height of the inlet by increasing the length of the stack beyond the standard 2m is not recommended, although theoretical calculations show no significant loss of particles on the wall of a stack much longer than 2m. (For a 1% loss of particles larger than 0.3 µm, the stack length would have to be over 250m.)
subtends more than 10°. (Example: Hold a ruler at arm’s length [24 inches]; if the object subtends more than four inches, it is a solid barrier.)

c. **Beyond 10m** of the sampler, the solid barriers or trees should not be higher than 30° above the horizontal with respect to the inlet, as shown on the right side of Figure 5. (Example: Hold a ruler at arm’s length [24 inches]; 30° is a height of 14 inches.)

5. If possible, all other samplers located at the site should use brushless pump motors (i.e., high-volume samplers often use motors with brushes that can result in high copper emissions). If brush pumps exist, the Project Manager should be notified and provided with the sampler specifications and the sampling schedule. The Project Manager will devise a site-specific approach for minimizing the effects of pump emissions.

6. The site must have electrical power (2 circuits; 120 volt, 60 hertz, 20 amperes). If new power must be installed it is anticipated that the local FLM will be able to obtain the necessary financial resources. The Steering Committee will consider exceptions.

7. The site must be accessible for a weekly sample change in all but the most severe weather conditions

8. The site should be located near existing particulate monitoring stations to provide continuity to the data set whenever possible.

9. The sampler inlets must be located between 3 and 4 meters above the ground, and at least 1 meter above the shelter roof or above any other obstruction within 10 meters of the inlets. The spacing between inlet stacks must be at least 24 inches.

10. There must be an available and reliable site operator.

11. The site should be secure from potential vandalism.

12. If possible, the sampler should be located in an open-air shelter.

13. There should be local land manager or landowner cooperation.

---

**Figure 5: Schematic of location with respect to trees and solid barriers**
5.2 DOCUMENTATION OF PROSPECTIVE SITES

Once potential sites have been found to meet the siting criteria listed above, the local FLM manager, or other persons leading the initial search, will send photos, sketches, and siting information for each potential site to UC Davis. A summary will be distributed to all parties involved in the selection.

1. **photographic**: The local FLM manager will complete and return the requested documentary photographs along with the attached photographic log. (See Appendix A: Photo Log.) The following photos will facilitate site selection:
   
a. photographs taken from North, South, East, and West, with the prospective site in each view
b. a photograph of the 120-volt power source in relation to the proposed site
c. close-up photographs of the location proposed as a sampler site
d. photographs of the four walls inside the existing building, if an existing building is proposed to shelter the sampler
e. photographs of any air quality or meteorological monitoring equipment located nearby
f. any additional photographs that may be beneficial in preparation for the sampler installation

2. **written**: The local FLM manager will complete and return the site evaluation form for each potential site, using a separate copy of the blank form for each potential site. (See Appendix B: Site Evaluation Form for Potential Sites.)

   a. The local FLM manager should fill out the information at the top of the form. Include as much information as possible.
   
b. The local FLM manager should provide a sketch of the proposed sites on the reverse side. List approximate dimensions (including height). Also include distances between buildings, fenced compounds, obstructions, etc.
   
c. The local FLM manager should provide a map or sketch of how to get to each potential site from a main road.
   
d. If possible, the local FLM manager should include a copy of a *topographic map* with all potential sites indicated.

When UC Davis receives the documentation and photographic log, it will check it for completeness, evaluate the information for compliance with IMPROVE siting guidelines, and obtain any missing information from the local contact.

5.3 FINAL SELECTION OF THE SITE LOCATION

A joint decision must be made by all concerned parties as to where to locate the sampling site. The concerned parties will be the local FLM, the national and/or regional FLM, the state and/or local air quality agency in which the site is located, and UC Davis. If significant disagreements exist between the concerned parties, UC Davis will prepare a summary for the IMPROVE steering committee, discussing each siting alternative and the tradeoffs among them. The IMPROVE steering committee will work with the parties to reach a decision.
1. The UC Davis field specialist will prepare a packet on the site with the documentation for each of the potential sites.
2. UC Davis will provide this packet and recommendations to all concerned parties.
3. The UC Davis field specialist will coordinate the final selection of the site location. This will generally be done with individual telephone calls or a conference call. If this is unsatisfactory, the UC Davis field specialist will coordinate an on-site visit with all concerned parties.

5.4 AUTHORIZATION FOR SITE USE
The local FLM will complete the necessary paperwork required to use the site, install power, and build structures. His duties will also include

1. obtaining any needed permission to use the property.
2. preparing and submitting any Environmental Impact Reports.
3. obtaining any needed authorization to install and use electrical power. The FLM will normally be expected to pay for the electrical power used. (An annual usage of approximately 7000 kilowatt hours is expected.)

5.5 SITE AND SHELTER PREPARATION
Once the specific location of an individual site has been agreed upon, the site must be prepared for installation of the sampling equipment. This primarily involves providing a structure and adequate electrical power. The local FLM manager will

1. supervise the installation of the shelter, or another agreed upon alternative. (The cost of the shelter will paid for by the local agency. The design specifications for the shelter will be provided by UC Davis.)
2. supervise the installation of the required electrical power (120 Volt, 60 Hertz, two 20 amp circuits) at the site; the electrical line should be terminated with two fourplex outlets.
3. notify UC Davis field specialist of approximate date when the site will be ready for sampler installation, with mandatory updates on electrical and structure completion.
4. fill out and return the site information summary sheet. (See Appendix C: Site Information Form.) This is only for the final specific location.
5. receive and record Federal Express shipments of the sampler and any additional installation equipment.
6. arrange for transportation of equipment to the site before UC Davis personnel arrive.

The shelter for the IMPROVE sampler may take the form of a purpose-built shelter, a rack on the side of a pre-existing structure, or a free-standing rack. With each of these configurations, the sampling inlets should ideally be between 3 and 4 meters above ground, although exceptions are sometimes made when local conditions dictate. Each type of installation is described below.

5.5.1 Mounting within a shelter
IMPROVE samples are intended to be collected under conditions as close to ambient temperature as possible. Hence, we prefer that samplers be mounted outdoors, either on a rack or on the side of an existing structure. Nevertheless, some sites experience severe weather and it is preferable to mount the samplers within a shelter to minimize exposure to the equipment and
to protect the operator from severe wind and cold during the weekly sample changes. The parameters for the shelter are as follows:

- The inside dimensions will be at least 6 feet x 8 feet and the shelter shall meet any requirements by the local FLM for appearance.
- The shelter will be well-ventilated, but not heated or air conditioned. It will be able to support heavy snow loads. At some sites, with deep snow pack, the shelter may have to be installed on a platform, and extended inlets may be required to keep the inlets at least 1 m above the typical winter snow pack. The siting criteria allow for this possibility.

5.5.2 Mounting on the side of a pre-existing shelter or building

Where a shelter or building already exists, it is sometimes convenient to mount the samplers on the side of the shelter. The parameters for this type of mounting are as follows:

- The sampler should be located just below the roof level, with the inlets extending at least 1 m above the roof. Either the sampler should be at eye level or lower, or the operator should be provided with a platform or ladder to permit sampler servicing.
- The inlets should be at least 24 inches from any other equipment located at the site.

5.5.3 Mounting on an outdoor rack

Where no existing structure exists, an outdoor wooden rack can be constructed. UC Davis can provide the plans for a rack, built from commonly available lumber and typically mounted on concrete post bases. The parameters for this type of mounting are as follows:

- The footprint of the rack is 4 feet by 8 feet, and it requires two to three days to acquire and prepare the materials and to assemble the rack.
- The rack should be assembled according to the detailed specifications provided in Appendix E

5.6 SELECTION OF A SITE OPERATOR

Only one primary site operator is required but backup operators must be trained and available to cover for the primary operator in cases of planned and unplanned absences. The operator(s) should have some technical expertise, but this does not mean that any operator must have had previous experience in aerosol monitoring. The most important qualification is that the operators be motivated and responsible. It is essential that the operators have adequate time to pay particular attention to the sample changing duties every week. Sometimes this may involve using local personnel in unrelated work areas or contracting the work duties to an outside contractor. This type of arrangement offers the best recovery rate in situations in which air quality personnel are far away from the site, required to travel often, or already perform too many duties.

IMPROVE site operator duties include
• reviewing the IMPROVE sampler manual and attending a one-hour training session at the site on the day of sampler installation.
• meeting with UC Davis personnel during the biennial site maintenance trip. The site maintenance visit will generally occur in the spring or summer. Site operators will be contacted two to three weeks before a visit by UC Davis personnel.
• receiving and inventorying the blue transport boxes (containing the filter cassettes), which are shipped by UPS to and from the sampling site and the filter handling laboratory at UC Davis. The boxes are labeled by site and sample week date with prepaid mailing labels.
• shipping the used filter cassettes back to Davis via UPS in their blue transport box after they are exposed in the sampler.
• performing weekly sample changes. This requires 15-30 minutes at the site every Tuesday. The changing can be done at any time during the 24-hour day. The time estimate includes troubleshooting and documentation duties, but does not include travel time to site. In some cases troubleshooting could require up to two hours, perhaps with multiple visits to the site, to diagnose and repair problems. Telephone assistance will be provided by the UC Davis laboratory whenever there are problems.
• performing a four-point flow rate flow check of each filter module as required for troubleshooting purposes. This takes approximately 30-60 minutes. Instructions and equipment will be provided by mail.
• performing necessary repairs, usually in the form of replacing problem equipment and/or replacing problem components.
• keeping the site adequately clean to avoid filter contamination and to discourage wildlife from nesting at the site.

5.7 INSTALLATION AND OPERATION OF SAMPLERS

• The local FLM manager and the UC Davis field manager will arrange a two-day time period when UC Davis personnel can install the IMPROVE sampler at the site.
• The local FLM will direct the UC Davis technician to the location of the shelter and the previously shipped sampling equipment.
• After the site set-up is completed, the site operator(s) will attend a one-hour training session on sampler operating procedures at the site.
• The operation of the site will typically begin immediately.

5.8 RELOCATING IMPROVE SAMPLING SITES

Occasionally it will become necessary to relocate an IMPROVE sampling site. The rationale and procedures for site relocation are described in Appendix D. In some cases the site will need to be renamed once it is moved. The convention for renaming, using the nomenclature in Appendix D, is:

A site that had a minor site relocation keeps its four-letter code and number.

A site that had a moderate site relocation gets a new number but keeps the same four-letter code.
A site that had a significant site relocation gets a new name and a new four-letter code.
### APPENDIX A. PHOTO LOG

#### POTENTIAL SITE #1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from N. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from E. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from S. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from W. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward N. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward E. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward S. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward W. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of power source relative to site; include circuit breaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of electrical outlets available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Close-up of building or location from N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Close-up of building or location from E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of nearby air sampling/meteorological equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photos of any potential problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### POTENTIAL SITE #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from N. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from E. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from S. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo from W. including site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward N. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward E. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward S. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo toward W. from site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of power source relative to site; include circuit breaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of electrical outlets available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Close-up of building or location from N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Close-up of building or location from E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inside of building facing W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photo of nearby air sampling/meteorological equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photos of any potential problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX B. SITE EVALUATION FORM

One form for each potential site
(send completed form to UC Davis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name:</th>
<th>Site Access Constraints (4-wheel drive road, gates/locks, time of day/week/month/year):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation (meters):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude (GPS reading):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (GPS reading):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How were these readings determined (GPS, topo map, etc.):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest City or Town:</td>
<td>Distance: Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for Vandalism:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Area Uses Within 200 Yards (type, dates of usage):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average and Maximum Snow Depth at Proposed Site:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there any nearby air monitoring instrumentation (aerosol, meteorological, nephelometer, gaseous):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe type of instrument(s) and operating schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe location, distance and, direction from the proposed site:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is 120 volt AC power available (distance?; can be wired for two independent 20 amp circuits):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability of Electrical Power (i.e. history of power outages):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a telephone available nearby (distance?):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percent of Ground Cover:

**Site (within 200 yards):**
- ______ trees
- ______ shrubs
- ______ grass
- ______ crops
- ______ bare soil
- ______ rock
- ______ pavement
- ______ building
- ______ water

**Local (200 yards–10 miles):**
- ______ trees
- ______ shrubs
- ______ grass
- ______ crops
- ______ bare soil
- ______ rock
- ______ pavement
- ______ building
- ______ water

**Regional (10 miles–100 miles):**
- ______ trees
- ______ shrubs
- ______ grass
- ______ crops
- ______ bare soil
- ______ rock
- ______ pavement
- ______ building
- ______ water
Particulate Sources: Type/Distance/Direction

Site (within 200 yards.)

Fugitive Dust:  
Combustion:  
Other:  

Local (200 yards–10 miles)

Fugitive Dust:  
Combustion:  
Other:  

Regional (10 miles–100 miles)

Fugitive Dust:  
Combustion:  
Other:  

Comments / suggestions: ____
Other:  

On the back of this page:

1. Please draw a quick sketch of the proposed site. Indicate North, and include the dimensions of nearby buildings and the distances to prominent objects seen in the photos.
2. Also sketch the route taken to get from a main road to the site.

Please send a topographic map (or photocopy) of the site area when you return this form.
APPENDIX C.  SITE INFORMATION FORM  
Single form for final site 
(send copy of completed form to UC Davis)

Site Name: ___________________ Class I area(s): ___________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Phone Information</th>
<th>e-mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>home:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cell:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>office:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fax:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup 1</td>
<td>home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cell:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>office:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fax:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup 2</td>
<td>home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cell:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>office:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fax:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ___________________________________________________________

Mailing Address: ______________________________________________________

UPS/FedEx Shipping Address (cannot be a Post Office Box): ______________________

Freight Address: _______________________________________________________

Site Access Route (directions): ___________________________________________

Site Access Constraints (4-wheel drive road, gates/locks, time of day/week/month/year): __________________________

Elevation: ________ Latitude: _______ deg. ________ Min     Longitude: _______ deg. ________ min. (to tenths of minute)

How were these readings determined (GPS, topo map, etc.): ___________________________

Topographic Map Name (1/25,000 or other appropriate scale): _______________________

(Please send or photocopy the topographic map that includes the site when you return this form)

Nearest City or Town: ___________________________ Distance: ______________ Direction ___________

Potential for Vandalism: ___________________________

Site Area Uses within 200 Yards: ___________________________

Average and Maximum Snow Depth at Proposed Site: ___________________________

Is there any nearby air monitoring instrumentation (aerosol, meteorological, nephelometer, gaseous)? ______

If yes, describe type of instrument(s) and operating schedule: ___________________________

If yes, describe location, distance, and direction from the proposed site: ___________________________
APPENDIX D. SITE RELOCATION GUIDELINES

There are a number of legitimate reasons for wanting to relocate a monitoring site, including poor initial siting (i.e., samples are thought to be unduly influenced by a local source), expected changes in the vicinity of the site that would result in poor siting (e.g., a new source to be located near the site), loss of access to the location (e.g., non-renewed lease), or to improve operator access where poor access has resulted in unacceptable data recovery. To be acceptable any new site must meet the IMPROVE siting criteria as specified in the current version of the standard operating procedures.

Except when moving a site to correct poor initial siting (that will be discussed separately below) the primary concern in relocating a monitoring site is to maintain continuity of the data for long-term trends analysis. In other words, the relocated monitor should produce mass and species concentrations data that are within measurement precision of those at the original location. Ideally, the comparability of data from the original and relocated sites would be on a sample period by sample period basis, but it is also acceptable for the data to be not comparable for individual sample periods if it is comparable on quarterly or annual averaged data. It is useful to classify site relocations into three categories that characterize the degree of data comparability issues that are reasonably anticipated and hence the level of assessment activities that should be applied as part of the relocation process.

A minor site relocation would be one where the equipment is moved a short distance (in most cases less than a kilometer) to a site with similar exposure, elevation (compared to local terrain relief), ground cover and soil type, and distance to local sources. Minor site relocation should result in data that are comparable even on a sample period-specific basis (like having collocated samplers). As an example, a site might be moved from one location in a mountain top radio relay compound to another location within the compound to accommodate other needs for the original location.

A site relocation information document (described below) should be prepared by the particle monitoring contractor (UCD) in consultation with the local site operator at least one month in advance of the move and transmitted to NPS who will in turn transmit it to the rest of the IMPROVE Steering Committee, and the appropriate state air quality agency. The particle monitoring contractor is authorized to relocate the site after the information review period so long as any objections concerning whether the relocation are satisfied. The Steering Committee will decide in cases where unresolved issues are raised by this review process.
A **moderate site relocation** exceed one or more of the criteria of the minor site relocation definition, with a move of moderate distance (in most cases less than 25 kilometers) to a site with similar exposure to major regional sources (i.e. generally the same direction and distance to major sources), and within the same broad drainage system (for sites in complex terrain). As an example, a site might be moved from a location near the valley bottom to another location half way up one of the surrounding mountains.

A site relocation information document should be prepared by the particle monitoring contractor in consultation with the local site operator a least one month in advance of the move and transmitted to NPS who will in turn transmit it to the rest of the IMPROVE Steering Committee and the appropriate state air quality agency. Based upon review of the materials in the relocation information document, the Steering Committee in consultation with the appropriate state air quality agency may require a period of simultaneous sampling at both locations to determine the degree of comparability between the data sets. If the original and relocated sites meet the siting criteria, a moderate relocation should produce comparable data, except perhaps for coarse mass and fine soil, which might be expected to vary over relatively short distances because of differences in ground cover and soil type. While efforts to minimize such differences by careful selection of the new site will be pursued, relocation to a site that may result in these differences can be approved with the understanding that the differences in the data will be assessed and documented.

A **significant site relocation** is one that exceeds one or more of the criteria for a moderate site relocation, with a move that can be of significant distance (up to ~100km) placing it in a different direction and distance from regionally important sources compared to the original site, yet the new site is still considered regionally representative of the visibility-protected Class I Areas that were represented by the original site. For example, a site at one Class I Areas that is suppose to represent a two or three Class I Area cluster is moved to another Class I Areas in the cluster that can be up to 100 kilometers distant. While they can be acceptable, significant site relocations are discouraged because they will likely result in incomparable data that will break any trend analysis. Comparability of data on a sample period by sample period basis is very unlikely, so quarterly and annual comparability is the goal in selecting a new site.

When a significant site relocation is anticipated, a site information document should be prepared by the particle monitoring contractor in consultation with the local site operator as early in advance of the move as possible and transmitted to NPS who will in turn transmit it to the rest of the IMPROVE Steering Committee and the appropriate state air quality agency. Simultaneous monitoring at the original and proposed new site should be conducted over a one-year period (this may be accomplished by sampling one month each quarter if cost or other concerns are raised). Final decision to relocate the monitoring site based upon the site information document as well as an assessment of the simultaneous monitoring data will be made by the Steering Committee in consultation with the appropriate state air quality agency.
Relocation for poor original siting does not require comparable data since implicit in having a poorly selected site are samples that are overly influenced by local sources. In some cases it may be possible to remedy the situation by controlling the local source (e.g. pave the unpaved road, moving the trash burning activities much further away, etc.). Where that approach is not possible, the site may need to be relocated.

There may be sites where local influence is suspected but not demonstrated (i.e., there is nothing obvious in the site’s data to indicate impact from a nearby source). In such cases, if a minor site relocation can alleviate the concern, it should be accomplished following the process described above. If a moderate or a significant site relocation would be required, simultaneous monitoring at the alternative site is recommended prior to relocation as a means to determine the degree of impact of the original site. If assessment of these data indicates that the local source has undetectable or only minor impact at the original site, then the site need not be moved.

For sites where the local source influence has been demonstrated by comparison of simultaneous monitoring data at a second site or by other means (e.g. comparison to other sites in the region), the site should be relocated to correct the poor original siting. A site relocation information document is required. The particle monitoring contractor should transmit it to the NPS, who will transmit it to the IMPROVE Steering Committee and appropriate state air quality agency at least one month prior to the relocation of the site. For significant site relocation, simultaneous monitoring at the original and new site may be required to document the differences between data from the two locations. The IMPROVE Steering Committee makes all decisions for a moderate or significant site relocation in consultation with the appropriate state air quality agency.

Site relocation information documents are prepared by the particle monitoring contractor as a means to document the need for site relocation and the likely degree of comparability of the original and proposed new site. All pertinent information concerning the original site and the reason for thinking that it should be relocated (see #1 and #2 below) is a required minimum for this document. Additional information concerning the proposed new site or sites (see #3 below) is needed as soon a possible and prior to making a decision to relocate a site. In cases where simultaneous monitoring is required, a summary and assessment of this data will be added to the document (see #4 below). In some cases this will be required before a final decision to relocate the site, while in other cases it may be added to the document after the relocation decision is made. The document will be a permanent record of the decision process that will be stored with other meta-data describing monitoring sites and equipment. Obviously all site relocations will be documented in the database and a new site name assigned.
Site Relocation Information Document Outline

1. Current site identification
   a. Name and identification number,
   b. Operator organization,
   c. Regional map showing site location

2. Reason for relocation
   a. Brief but complete narrative statement of the problem
   b. Any actions taken to correct the problem prior to site relocation
   c. Required or recommended timing for site relocation

3. Proposed new site(s) information
   a. Regional map(s) with original and proposed new sites indicated
      i. In areas of complex terrain the map should include elevation contours
      ii. If available, ground cover and soil maps should be included
      iii. On a map that is of appropriate scale, show all known primary particle sources in the “near region” (defined as the area within circle with diameter of 4 times the distance between the current site and the proposed site centered on the point halfway between the two sites)
   b. Give the horizontal and vertical distance between the current and proposed new site
   c. Are the two sites in the same river drainage (acts like an air basin) and if not how far away is a common drainage?
   d. Soil types and land cover/use for the two sites
   e. Characterize primary particle sources in the near region including estimates of source strengths or activity levels and key them to the source map
   f. Requirements for establishing the new site (activities, cost & schedule)
   g. Practical considerations for operating simultaneously with current site
   h. Proposed site operator organization and any other operator arrangements (e.g. contract operator)
   i. Based upon the information above does the proposed site constitute a minor, moderate, or substantial relocation

4. Simultaneous monitoring data summary and assessment (optional per Steering Committee decision)
   a. Mean and standard deviation of the major reconstructed extinction components at each site by quarter and annual
   b. Scatter plots and regression analysis of paired reconstructed extinction components for the two sites
c. Statistical test of significance for the difference of paired components between the two sites on a sample period specific, quarterly, and annual basis
APPENDIX E. SPECIFICATIONS FOR BUILDING AN OPEN SHELTER FOR IMPROVE MONITORING

(see next page)
APPENDIX E. SPECIFICATIONS FOR BUILDING AN OPEN SHELTER FOR IMPROVE MONITORING

IMPROVE Open Shelter Cut Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4x4 Shelter Leg (Treated)</td>
<td>84” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2x6 Horizontal Beam – Long End</td>
<td>93-1/4” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2x6 Horizontal Beam – Short End</td>
<td>52” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2x6 Short End Diagonal Brace</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2x4 Joists</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gussets</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Plywood Roof Sheets</td>
<td>96 x 36 x 1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Composite Siding – Long End</td>
<td>93-1/4 x 28 x 5/16”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Composite Siding – Short End</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMPROVE Pump Enclosure Cut Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2x4” Base Beam – Long</td>
<td>85-1/2” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2x4” Base Beam – Short</td>
<td>15” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/8” Plywood Floor Board</td>
<td>85-1/2 x 18 x 3/8”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2x2” Vertical Support – Front</td>
<td>19-7/8” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2x2” Vertical Support – Rear</td>
<td>21-3/8” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2x2” Vertical Support – Rear Center</td>
<td>17-7/8” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2x2” 45° Support</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2x4” Top Beam – Long</td>
<td>82-1/2” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2x4” Top Beam – Short</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/8” Plywood Siding – Rear</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/8” Plywood Siding - Sides</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/8” Plywood Siding - Top</td>
<td>See Dwg. NO. IMPROVE425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1x3” Top Trim</td>
<td>86-1/4” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/8” Plywood Door</td>
<td>86-1/4 x 21 x 3/8”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1x4” Door Trim – Long</td>
<td>86-1/4” Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1x4” Door Trim – Short</td>
<td>17-1/2” Long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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